

had a relationship to the school and those parents who now have children enrolled. Of prime importance, however, is that all matters relative to existing students and staff of this school be handled equitably. The group of students most affected will be those who would be in the senior year next year.

"It is the purpose of this meeting to inform the Executive Committee of the Board and, through them, the Board of Trustees that at the May 12, 1964, meeting of the Board, I intend to recommend to the Board that the University High School be terminated at the end of this school year. Prior to the meeting on May 12, I shall meet with any interested persons concerning the University High School and will also have the opportunity to explore with the parents of next year's seniors the possibilities of some special arrangement that might permit that class to complete their final year under the auspices of University High."

After reading the report to the group, Dr. Oswald commented that he had suggested that perhaps an interim operation of the school might be possible to allow parents to make plans for their children. The committee had, however, strongly advised against such a procedure and, as a next possibility, Dr. Oswald said that he would be willing to explore with the parents and students the operation of the school for one additional year for the present junior class in order that they might have their senior year there and be graduated from the University High School. He cautioned that it would be necessary to explore accreditation for such a plan with both the State Department of Education and the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools. In addition, he felt that at least 2/3 of the present junior class must be willing to return in order to make such a project feasible. He warned also that the students should be fully conscious that this would not be a normal senior year since there would be an almost complete absence of any extra-curricular activities.

In answer to a question as to where the students would go next year, Dr. Oswald replied that, if the present junior class remained in the University School, there would be only 101 students to be absorbed by other school systems and that these would be over such a widely scattered area that no system or district would suffer by an influx of additional students.

Judge Sutherland questioned whether this was a school in which students from all over the state might enroll or whether it merely served the immediate area. Dr. Oswald replied that, in theory, any student from Kentucky would be eligible for admission but because there was no housing in connection with the school only those living within commuting distance actually attended.

Dr. Angelucci asked for a cost analysis and the following information was given. The operation of the University High School costs approximately \$192,000 a year--\$159,000 direct cost for teachers, \$5,500 for social security taxes, and \$38,000 for operation of the plant. Of this amount only \$15,390 of income is received from tuition fees, leaving \$177,000 paid from state appropriations. The cost per pupil is \$1,040 in comparison with a figure of less than