

Professor R. E. Shaver appointed to the position of Dean of the College of Engineering to succeed Dean D. V. Terrill who went on change-of-work status. Once more, Dean Terrill is still working daily for the University and certainly has not resigned or left the institution.

Changes - "yes"; "Exodus" - "no."

The fourth item which should be mentioned is the statement which suggests that the University Medical School is a piece of work of Governor Chandler only. Obviously, the Legislative Research Commission report during another administration indicates the need for a medical school at the University and the project had been under consideration for years. The Board of Trustees actually established the Medical School as a part of the University prior to any action of the Governor.

The Kammerer case really needs no further comment since it has been "kicked around" sufficiently. The tendency of the Courier-Journal to continue to report on this case with such side-remarks as "When that tradition was broken in the case of Dr. Kammerer, political implications were <sup>read</sup> correctly or not into the matter" (*italics are mine*) is just another indication of their biased and prejudiced type of journalism.

The third paragraph of Mr. Creason's article needs some attention also. He says: "Moreover, it is doubtful if so much criticism stirred up by actions instituted by the Governor of the State ever before rubbed off on a University of Kentucky president." As I have attempted to point out, the actions attributed to the Governor are not necessarily ones which have been instituted by him. It is true that he has given his support to many of the phases of the University program, for which we are grateful, but the actions are attributed to the Governor only by the Courier-Journal and the "rubbing off" has been something which has been created solely by these papers.

I certainly did not intend to write so lengthily about these matters, but once involved, it seems desirable to present ~~the full story~~ as full a story as possible. Once more, I say that I am perplexed about the steps which should be taken. On several occasions in the past Dr. Donovan has discussed such matters with Mr. Bingham and others, and the obvious results have been more concerted efforts to "get" the University. I do not wish to ask you and your paper to shoulder our burdens for us. You have always been our staunchest supporters. It seems to me that you have stood for the kind of journalism ~~for~~ in which ~~xxx~~ America believes. Somehow, I hope that the reading public may have the opportunity to know the complete facts, rather than the prejudices and half-truths. Of course, this position of the Courier-Journal and Times should come as no surprise, for Mr. Bingham himself writing in the Editorial Notebook a few weeks ago stated that their editorial policies were based on opinion rather than fact. However, it would seem desirable for them to at least get real facts on which to base their opinions.